Mild Behavioral Impairment: presentation of the diagnostic criteria and the Italian version of the MBI-Checklist

**SUMMARY.** Neuropsychiatric symptoms (NPS) are common in the prodromal stage of dementia and can precede the onset of cognitive impairment. The presence of NPS in cognitively normal patients or in patients with Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI) is associated with an increased risk of progression along the neurodegenerative process. The need to identify, in the early stages of the disease, the population at risk of cognitive decline has led to the formulation of the concept of Mild Behavioral Impairment (MBI). This neurobehavioral syndrome is characterized by late-onset sustained psychiatric symptoms, in patients without cognitive deficits or in those with MCI, identifying a condition associated with an increased probability of conversion into dementia. MBI represents the neurobehavioral axis of pre-dementia risk states, as a complement to the neurocognitive axis of MCI. For some, MBI may be the initial manifestation of neurodegenerative disease, observed before cognitive impairment is apparent. The Mild Behavioral Impairment-Checklist (MBI-C) was developed on the basis of the MBI diagnostic criteria, established by the International Society to Advance Alzheimer’s Research and Treatment (ISTAART). The MBI-C allows to identify, in a standardized way, patients with MBI and to follow the course of their neurodegenerative disease. This article describes the creation of the MBI-C scale and presents its Italian version.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Neuropsychiatric Symptoms (NPS) are behavioral disorders and psychiatric symptoms frequently associated with neurodegenerative diseases; this definition includes mood disorders, anxiety, psychotic symptoms, neurovegetative disorders (sleep and appetite disturbances) and behavioral alterations such as agitation and aggression. NPS are considered as ‘non-cognitive’ symptoms of dementia and described in the Anglo-Saxon literature as Behavioral and Psychological Symptoms of Dementia (BPSD).
It has been calculated that 80% of patients with dementia have one or more NPS and their frequency increases with the course of the disease. NPS are associated with a more rapid disease progression, a worse quality of life, as well as a greater stress burden for family members and caregivers. A working group of the International Society to Advance Alzheimer’s Research and Treatment (ISTAART) is dedicated to the study of NPS.

The appearance of NPS often precedes the onset of cognitive symptoms of dementia; if the NPS are present, beside leading to high social and economic costs, they seem to predict an evolution towards more severe forms of dementia and an earlier exitus. Thus, ISTAART has published extensively on the importance of non-cognitive predromes to dementia.

NPS in the elderly without cognitive impairment

Recent data indicate that the onset of NPS in the elderly, in the absence of cognitive deficits, may represent an early marker of cognitive decline and promote the risk of progression along the continuum of neurodegenerative pathology, from Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI) to dementia.

A prospective cohort study, the Mayo Clinic Study of Aging, highlighted that the presence of NPS (particularly agitation, apathy, anxiety, irritability or depression), in a sample of cognitively normal elderly patients, increases the risk of developing MCI. From this same study it appeared that the presence of NPS is a predictive factor of MCI onset, more significant than the neuroimaging data of hippocampal atrophy. In another study conducted on 873 patients between 70 and 90 years, the Sydney Memory and Aging, a significant association was observed between some NPS, in particular anxiety and agitation, and a cognitive decline in the following 2 years. Lastly, in a 4-year follow-up study of 644 cognitively healthy elderly patients, it was observed that the presence of depression and apathy was associated with an increased risk of conversion into dementia. These results indicated the need for closer control over time in this type of patient.

NPS in patients with MCI

NPS are very frequent in patients with MCI, from 50% to 59% of cases, depending on the studies evaluated (epidemiological or clinical); depression (27.0%), apathy (18.5%) and irritability (19.4%) are the most commonly reported symptoms.

The presence of NPS in patients with MCI is associated with a greater severity of cognitive impairment and a greater impairment of functional adaptation, with a higher risk of progression towards dementia. While the risk of evolution in dementia for patients with MCI is 12% per year, the risk of evolution in dementia doubles, up to 25% per year in the presence of one or more NPS (in particular apathy and depression).

Assumptions are made regarding the relationship between the presence of NPS symptoms and the incidence of the neurodegenerative disorder. It is possible that NPS, especially those in the affective sphere, could represent the early manifestation of the epiphenomenon of an underlying neurodegenerative disorder. Alternatively, a common substrate (represented by the neurodegenerative process) could be the cause of both cognitive and NPS manifestations. The last possibility identifies the interaction between biological and neuropsychiatric factors as the cause of cognitive impairment.

NPS in patients with dementia

NPS are currently recognized as a central clinical manifestation of dementia; as early as 2011, the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer’s Association (NIA-AA) recommends including in the diagnosis of dementia the onset of changes in personality, behavior, or compartment symptoms that include: uncharacteristic mood fluctuations such as agitation, impaired motivation, initiative, apathy, loss of drive, social withdrawal, decreased interest in previous activities, loss of empathy, compulsive or obsessive behaviors, socially unacceptable behaviors.

The percentage of patients with NPS increases with the progression of dementia; a 5-year follow-up study reports that 97% of patients with dementia have at least one psychiatric symptom (Cache Country Study of Memory Health and Aging - CCMSHA); the most frequent neuropsychiatric disorders are apathy (36%), depression (32%) and agitation/aggression (30%).

The presence of neuropsychiatric symptoms in dementia leads to a worse prognosis, a more rapid cognitive decline, a greater impairment of daily functions, an increased risk of aut/to-hetero-aggressive behavior and an early institutionalization.

In the behavioral variant of frontotemporal dementia (bv-FTD) the NPS symptoms may be the first manifestation, also Alzheimer’s dementia (AD), vascular dementia (VaD), and some subcortical forms, Lewy body dementia (LBD) and Huntington’s disease (HD), can begin with behavioral disorders and psychiatric symptoms.

According to a recent review of the literature about 28% of patients with a neurodegenerative disease have previously received a psychiatric diagnosis, most often depression. A psychiatric disorder is more frequently diagnosed at the onset of bv-FTD (52% of cases), compared to semantic dementia (24%) and AD (23%). A misdiagnosis of a neurodegenerative disease can delay the application of the most appropriate investigation and treatment protocols for this type of patient, worsening the course and prognosis. Above all, the treatment of psychiatric syndromes associated with neurodegenerative diseases requires particular attention in the choice of drugs and in the management of therapy, to avoid both the risk of iatrogenic syndromes and the rapid progression of cognitive deficits. For these reasons, psychiatric symptoms that first appear in adulthood and older age always require an accurate multidisciplinary specialist evaluation (psychiatric, neurological, neuroradiological).

**ORIGIN OF THE CONCEPT OF MILD BEHAVIORAL IMPAIRMENT (MBI)**

NPS are frequent not only in dementia, but also in patients with MCI and in elderly subjects without cognitive deficits. The presence of this symptoms worsens the prognosis for all these patients, increasing the risk of evolution in dementia and mortality.
Proper early identification of NPS and their appropriate treatment could slow or mitigate both the severe psychiatric complications of dementia and the appearance of cognitive symptoms. The concept of Mild Behavioral Impairment (MBI) was born initially in order to identify behavioral manifestations that precede frontotemporal dementia (FTD) and that for a long period of time may be the only clinical manifestations of the disease. Taragano et al. selected a sample of patients with NPS without cognitive impairment and monitored them for 3 years. At the end of follow-up, 36% of patients had developed a FTD, 28% an AD, 18% a VaD and 18% an another type of dementia. The authors defined MBI as a clinical presentation characterized by changes in behavior (in particular disinhibition) and mild psychiatric symptoms, which can not be classified in other mental disorders, lasting no less than 6 months. According to the authors, MBI represented an intermediate stage in the evolution between normality and dementia. According to the diagnostic criteria of Taragano et al. a diagnosis of MBI also required a minimum age of 60 years, the absence of severe cognitive impairment and the ability to perform normal daily activities autonomously (Table 1).

De Mendonça et al. in part subsequently modified the initial concept of MBI, proposing criteria for a FTD-MCI in which cognitive, behavioral and neuroimaging parameters were combined. The diagnosis of FTD-MCI was applied to subjects who presented symptoms indicative of a fronto-temporal deficit and impaired executive functions, who nevertheless maintained adequate functioning in daily activities. Neuroimaging examinations may be normal or indicative of frontotemporal atrophy (Table 2).

**DEVELOPMENT OF THE MBI CONCEPT**

Criteria proposed by Taragano and Allegri and by de Mendonça et al. proved to be useful for early diagnosis of the bv-FTD which, as we have mentioned, at the onset is diagnosed and treated as a psychiatric disorder in about 50% of cases.

Evidence that dementias other than FTD can also begin with NPS brought about the need to change the diagnostic criteria proposed for MBI, and to describe explicitly the relationship between MBI and MCI, in order to make this diagnosis useful in clinical practice and to identify earlier patients at risk of cognitive decline and dementia.

The initial discussion about the need to develop new criteria for MBI took place at the annual meeting of the NPS Professional Interest Area (NPS-PIA) of the ISTAART during the Alzheimer’s Association International Conference (AAIC) of 2012 in Vancouver, Canada.

After reviewing the literature, an international working group, led by Zahinoor Ismail, proposed new MBI diagnostic criteria, inspired by the criteria of Taragano and Allegri, de Mendonça et al. and by those of the NIA-AA related to AD. The underlying assumption of this working group, derived from both literature and clinical experience, was that neurodegenerative disease may occur even before cognitive impairment, with personality changes or behavioral and psychiatric symptoms. The new diagnostic criteria for the ISTAART MBI were refined over time and then presented at the AAIC 2015, in Washington D.C.

**ISTAART CRITERIA FOR MBI**

MBI refers to a late-onset syndrome (from the age of 50 onwards), in which the psychiatric symptoms/behaviors, not better classified in other nosological diagnoses, may be the early manifestations of a neurodegenerative disorder.

To diagnosed MBI according to the ISTAART criteria (Table 3), at least a minimal functional impairment needs to be observed, due to the presence of NPS in the following main domains: reduction of motivation or drive, affective dysregulation, impulse dyscontrol, social inappropriateness and abnormal perception or thought content.

These alterations must persist for at least 6 months and must cause an impairment of interpersonal, social or work functioning, however not severe enough to compromise the independence of the patient, who manages to maintain his autonomy with minimal help or assistance.

MBI can also be diagnosed in cognitively normal subjects. While a diagnosis of dementia is a criterion of exclusion, diagnosis of MCI may be present, although functional alterations must be attributable to NPS and not to cognitive symptoms.

In the criteria it is also specified that the NPS that arise after the diagnosis of dementia cannot be considered as symptoms of MBI.

The target of the MBI ISTAART criteria is to identify late onset psychiatric symptoms as a marker of a prodromal and preclinical stage of neurodegenerative disease and to obtain an instrument applicable in clinical practice for the validation of the MBI in terms of nosological, epidemiological, neurobiological and treatment response perspectives.

Furthermore, identifying the population with MBI, could represent a new approach to study strategies for the preven-

---

**Table 1. Mild Behavioral Impairment criteria.**

| 1. Persistent behavioral changes and mild psychiatric symptoms, especially disinhibition |
| 2. No serious memory complaints |
| 3. Normal activities of daily living |
| 4. Not demented |

Adapted from Ismail et al.

**Table 2. FT-MCI criteria.**

| 1. Symptoms of frontotemporal dysfunction |
| a) Behavioral symptoms |
| b) Affective symptoms |
| c) Speech disturbance; the patient could also have memory complaints |
| 2. Alteration (reduction of at least 1 SD) in at least one test reflecting executive functions dependent on the frontal lobe |
| 3. Maintained activities of daily living (professional, social, familial activities) |
| 4. CT or MRI scan normal or with frontotemporal atrophy |

Adapted from Ismail et al.

Abbreviations: FT-MCI, frontotemporal MCI; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; SD, standard deviation; CT, computed tomography; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.
Table 3. ISTAART research diagnostic criteria for MBI39.

1. Changes in behavior or personality observed by patient, informant, or clinician, starting later in life (age ≥50 years) and persisting at least intermittently for ≥6 months. These represent clear change from the person’s usual behavior or personality as evidenced by at least one of the following:
   a) Decreased motivation (e.g., apathy, aspontaneity, indifference)
   b) Affective dysregulation (e.g., anxiety, dysphoria, changeability, euphoria, irritability)
   c) Impulse dyscontrol (e.g., agitation, disinhibition, gambling, obsessiveness, behavioral perseveration, stimulus bind)
   d) Social inappropriateness (e.g., lack of empathy, loss of insight, loss of social graces or tact, rigidity, exaggeration of previous personality traits)
   e) Abnormal perception or thought content (e.g., delusions, hallucinations)

2. Behaviors are of sufficient severity to produce at least minimal impairment in at least one of the following areas:
   a) Interpersonal relationship
   b) Other aspects of social functioning
   c) Ability to perform in the workplace

The patient should generally maintain his/her independence of function in daily life, with minimal aids or assistance.

3. Although comorbid conditions may be present, the behavioral or personality changes are not attributable to another current psychiatric disorder (e.g., generalized anxiety disorder, major depression, manic or psychotic disorders), traumatic or general medical causes, or the physiological effects of a substance or medication.

4. The patient does not meet criteria for a dementia syndrome (e.g., Alzheimer’s disease, frontotemporal dementia, dementia with Lewy bodies, vascular dementia, other dementia). MCI can be concurrently diagnosed with MBI.

Abbreviations: ISTAART, International Society to Advance Alzheimer’s Research and Treatment; MBI, mild behavioral impairment; MCI, mild cognitive impairment.

Adapted from Ismail et al.29.

The clinical concept of MBI was developed and the diagnostic criteria were listed, it became necessary to define and validate a tool for its evaluation.

The most used rating scales for the detection of NPS are the Neuropsychiatric Inventory Questionnaire (NPI-Q)39, the Behavioral Pathology in Alzheimer’s Disease (BEHAVE-AD)40, the Cohen Mansfield Agitation Inventory (CMAI)41 and the Neurobehavioral Rating Scale (NBRs)42, but these are not sufficiently specific to identify behavioral and psychiatric symptoms in the patient with MBI, in part due to the short reference range (2-4 weeks) in these scales since MBI requires a 6-month symptom duration. Over that short time period (2-4 weeks), transient and reactive states can manifest as NPS and potentially provide false positive results. Furthermore, the rating scales listed above were developed for patients diagnosed with dementia, often not self-sufficient or functionally independent but rather who were institutionalized and with symptoms on average more serious than patients with MBI. Thus, in healthy patients or in patients with MCI, a new tool was needed in order to measure NPS and to diagnose MBI as described in the 2016 ISTAART MBI criteria.

Taking as a model the method used for the preparation of the other NPS focused rating scales31-44, a multidisciplinary international working group of 18 experts (psychiatrists, neuropsychiatrists, neurologists, neuroscientists, epidemiologists) was set up to create an evaluation tool, the MBI-Checklist (MBI-C)33, based on the diagnostic criteria of MBI developed by ISTAART. The aim was to make the concept of MBI operational and to allow early detection of individuals at risk of dementia, through an assessment scale that can be easily used in clinical and community settings.

The Neuropsychiatric Inventory-Clinician (NPI-C44, an extended version of the NPI35) used by the clinician in the hospital setting was taken as a reference from which certain items were selected. Items were excluded that investigated the most typical aspects of dementia, in order to identify a reduced list appropriate for the assessment of NPS in a population without established cognitive deficits. The constant interaction between members of the working group, whose purpose was to create the scale, came about using a modified version of the Delphi method46,47, an iterative process in which items were generated and added, removed or modified, based on group input on relevance to measurement of emergent NPS in preclinical or prodromal patients.

The final version of the scale consists of 34 questions, organized in the 5 MBI domains as defined by the ISTAART criteria: reduction of motivation, affective dysregulation, impulse dyscontrol, social inappropriateness and abnormal perception or thought content. Each of the 5 domains is preceded by a description of the content.

The ‘reduction of motivation’ domain consists of 6 items that evaluate cognitive, emotional and behavioral apathy. Also the ‘affective dysregulation’ domain is investigated with 6 items, 4 of which focused on the aspects of depression (sad mood, anhedonia, hopelessness, feelings of guilt) and the other 2 focused on aspects of anxiety and panic. The ‘impulse dyscontrol’ is the most investigated with 12 questions relating to the presence of agitation, aggression, impulsivity, eating dyscontrol, dangerous behavior and alteration of the reward system. The ‘social inappropriateness’ domain includes 5 items, which assess social graces, empathy and tact, as well as 5 items that explore the ‘abnormal perceptions or thought content’ (including suspiciousness, grandiosity, visual and auditory hallucinations). The answer to the questions is dichotomous: the presence or absence of the item is evaluated; if the symptom is present, the interviewee is asked to specify the severity (mild, moderate, severe). Examples are provided to facilitate the understanding of the question.

Behavior changes, observable by others, must have occurred in older age (≥50 years) and must persist for at least 6 months, determining a functional impairment and representing a change in the way the patient typically acts43.

The scale is designed to be used in both clinical and research settings. It should be administered preferably to a family member or to a caregiver and not to the patient. In any event the clinician can indicate on the form who supplied the information (the clinician himself, an informant, the subject).

No training is required to administer the scale.

MILD BEHAVIORAL IMPAIRMENT-CHEKLIST (MBI-C)

Once the clinical concept of MBI was developed and the diagnostic criteria were listed, it became necessary to define and validate a tool for its evaluation.

The most used rating scales for the detection of NPS are the Neuropsychiatric Inventory Questionnaire (NPI-Q)39, the Behavioral Pathology in Alzheimer’s Disease (BEHAVE-AD)40, the Cohen Mansfield Agitation Inventory (CMAI)41 and the Neurobehavioral Rating Scale (NBRs)42, but these are not sufficiently specific to identify behavioral and psychiatric symptoms in the patient with MBI, in part due to the short reference range (2-4 weeks) in these scales since MBI requires a 6-month symptom duration. Over that short time period (2-4 weeks), transient and reactive states can manifest as NPS and potentially provide false positive results. Furthermore, the rating scales listed above were developed for patients diagnosed with dementia, often not self-sufficient or functionally independent but rather who were institutionalized and with symptoms on average more serious than patients with MBI. Thus, in healthy patients or in patients with MCI, a new tool was needed in order to measure NPS and to diagnose MBI as described in the 2016 ISTAART MBI criteria.

Taking as a model the method used for the preparation of the other NPS focused rating scales31-44, a multidisciplinary international working group of 18 experts (psychiatrists, neuropsychiatrists, neurologists, neuroscientists, epidemiologists) was set up to create an evaluation tool, the MBI-Checklist (MBI-C)33, based on the diagnostic criteria of MBI developed by ISTAART. The aim was to make the concept of MBI operational and to allow early detection of individuals at risk of dementia, through an assessment scale that can be easily used in clinical and community settings.

The Neuropsychiatric Inventory-Clinician (NPI-C44, an extended version of the NPI35) used by the clinician in the hospital setting was taken as a reference from which certain items were selected. Items were excluded that investigated the most typical aspects of dementia, in order to identify a reduced list appropriate for the assessment of NPS in a population without established cognitive deficits. The constant interaction between members of the working group, whose purpose was to create the scale, came about using a modified version of the Delphi method46,47, an iterative process in which items were generated and added, removed or modified, based on group input on relevance to measurement of emergent NPS in preclinical or prodromal patients.

The final version of the scale consists of 34 questions, organized in the 5 MBI domains as defined by the ISTAART criteria: reduction of motivation, affective dysregulation, impulse dyscontrol, social inappropriateness and abnormal perception or thought content. Each of the 5 domains is preceded by a description of the content.

The ‘reduction of motivation’ domain consists of 6 items that evaluate cognitive, emotional and behavioral apathy. Also the ‘affective dysregulation’ domain is investigated with 6 items, 4 of which focused on the aspects of depression (sad mood, anhedonia, hopelessness, feelings of guilt) and the other 2 focused on aspects of anxiety and panic. The ‘impulse dyscontrol’ is the most investigated with 12 questions relating to the presence of agitation, aggression, impulsivity, eating dyscontrol, dangerous behavior and alteration of the reward system. The ‘social inappropriateness’ domain includes 5 items, which assess social graces, empathy and tact, as well as 5 items that explore the ‘abnormal perceptions or thought content’ (including suspiciousness, grandiosity, visual and auditory hallucinations). The answer to the questions is dichotomous: the presence or absence of the item is evaluated; if the symptom is present, the interviewee is asked to specify the severity (mild, moderate, severe). Examples are provided to facilitate the understanding of the question.

Behavior changes, observable by others, must have occurred in older age (≥50 years) and must persist for at least 6 months, determining a functional impairment and representing a change in the way the patient typically acts43.

The scale is designed to be used in both clinical and research settings. It should be administered preferably to a family member or to a caregiver and not to the patient. In any event the clinician can indicate on the form who supplied the information (the clinician himself, an informant, the subject).

No training is required to administer the scale.
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In an epidemiological study on a sample of 1377 elderly people (between 72 and 79 years of age) it was found that 34.1% of the patients met criterion 1 for MBI8. The highest prevalence was found in patients with MCI (48.9%), followed by cognitively normal patients at risk (43.1%) and by the group of the cognitively normal (27.6%). These results, comparable with those of the Mayo Clinic Study of Ageing11, supported the interpretation of MBI as a transition stage between normality and pathological aging. It was shown that MBI was more common in the men’s group (52.6%), among which apathy and impulsive behaviors was double in prevalence to that of women. The prevalence of criterion 1 of the MBI (in accordance with the ISTAART criteria, assessed by the NPI-Q) was evaluated in a series of patients with subjective cognitive decline and MCI, who accessed a memory clinic. The prevalence of MBI in this study was high (81.5%) and associated with greater caregiver burden, with no significant differences between the two groups. Of note, these studies utilized the NPI or NPI-Q for symptom detection, with NPS transformed to MBI domains using an algorithm. The high prevalence likely reflects the short reference range of 4 weeks of the NPI.

Recently, administering the MBI-C by phone to a sample of 127 primary care patients with subjective cognitive decline, a lower prevalence of MBI was observed, equal to 5.8%69. This variability compared to the results of previous studies is due to the use, in different settings, of different evaluation scales and criteria. In particular, in this last study the prevalence of the MBI was estimated on the basis of all 4 ISTAART criteria and through the MBI-C, which, compared to the NPI-Q, analyzes a longer period of time and emphasizes the late onset, minimizing the inclusion of transient NPS and therefore false positives. Using ROC analysis, with a reference standard being a semi-structured clinical interview, family interview, and chart review, an MBI-C cut-point of 8.5 optimized sensitivity and specificity for MBI case detection59. A related study in primary care patients with MCI found MBI prevalence of 14.2% with an MBI-C cut-point of 6.5 optimizing MBI case detection60.

MBI administration appears adequate in detecting MBI cases, however longitudinal data are needed to estimate if the scale is able to identify those patients who will subsequently develop cognitive decline.

MBI AND RISK OF CONVERSION TO DEMENTIA

Taragano et al.51 evaluated the risk of conversion to dementia of 358 patients with MCI and MBI during a 5-year follow-up, demonstrating that a greater and more rapid progression towards dementia was associated with MBI (70%), compared to patients with MCI (40%).

A prospective longitudinal study has recently confirmed a high conversion risk in patients with MBI. The study52 was conducted on a sample of 348 patients over 60 years of age, who attended the Neuropsychiatric Research Unit of CEMIC University Hospital. The participants of the study were divided into 3 different groups: 96 with MBI, 87 with MCI and 165 with psychiatric disorders. A further group was made on the basis of the presence of common features between MBI patients with cognitive symptoms and MCI patients with NPS (MBI-MCI group). The percentage of patients who developed dementia after 5 years was 71.5% in the MBI group, 59.6% in the MBI-MCI group, 37.8% in the MCI group and 13.9% in the group of psychiatric patients. The results of this latest study also show that the majority of patients with MCI tend to develop AD, while patients with MBI evolve in a wider range of neurodegenerative diseases, including FTD, followed by LBD and AD. Psychiatric patients develop LBD and FTD. No patient with MCI develops LBD. An important finding from this study was the greater dementia incidence in MBI group compared to the late life psychiatric group, emphasizing the clinical and prognostic importance of differentiating later life emergent psychiatric symptoms (MBI) from psychiatric conditions in late life, thus validating the MBI concept.

THE ITALIAN VERSION OF MBI-CHECKLIST

For the development of the Italian version of the MBI-Checklist the first thing carried out by our research group was a translation from English into Italian. The second step was to translate the scale back into English. These two steps were carried out by two different members of our group (C.E. and L.L.), who worked on the translation process independently, as not to be influenced.

After the translation into English the scale was sent to its main author (Z.I.), who compared the back translation from Italian to the original English MBI-C. Discrepancies and suggestions were identified and relayed back to C.E. who incorporated them into an updated Italian translation, which was then back translated to English by L.L. and then compared again to the original by Z.I. and the process was repeated. This iterative process resulted in an Italian translation that best reflected the meaning and intention of the original MBI-C.

The Italian version of the scale is shown in Figure 1 (you can obtain information about the scale and its versions in different languages on the site www.mbitest.org). The MBI-C is freely available in the public domain for clinical and research use.

CONCLUSION

The nosographic and diagnostic systems used today in the psychiatric field (DSM, ICD) have revealed to be inadequate for the correct definition and classification of late-onset NPS, which often precede the beginning of the neurodegenerative disease and accompany its early stages. The availability of operative diagnostic criteria, modeled on the MBI, as defined by the ISTAART-AA working group, can allow the recognition and correct classification of these symptoms, often confused with affective or psychotic clinical presentation of the senile age.

The identification of a population at risk for neurodegenerative disease has important implications on the therapeutic management of affective, psychotic and behavioral symptoms and on the prevention of cognitive decline. The pharmacological choices in this population are based on avoiding all those strategies that can accelerate the progression of the disease (use of sedatives, anticholinergic drugs, high doses of antidepressants and antipsychotics, etc.).
Numerous studies have shown how it was possible in some countries to reduce the incidence of dementia thanks to prevention strategies, risk factor control, lifestyle modification and social changes. The early detection of MBI, made possible by the MBI-C, could allow the study and implementation of new strategies for the prevention and treatment of neurodegenerative diseases, at its early stage. In particular, it will be interesting to verify if the early and correct treatment of late-onset NPS can mitigate, slow down or modify the clinical presentation of neurodegenerative diseases.

The MBI-C was developed to define, identify and evaluate late-onset NPS. The use of MBI-C allows researchers to investigate the presence of a selected list of NPS in order to identify the prodromal and/or preclinical stages of dementia, estimating the risk of evolution in a neurodegenerative disease. The use of a standardized diagnostic tool such as MBI-C contributes to the early recognition of psychiatric clinical presentation and behavioral disorders developed in patients at risk, in the pre-dementia development phase, allowing the use of present and future treatments in an early stage of the disease.

The reliability, validity and usefulness of MBI-C must still be evaluated and studies on different patient populations (healthy patients, patients with MBI and MCI) are necessary for this purpose. The ability of the MBI-C to accurately measure MBI domains as described in the MBI criteria is an important feature of this scale. Some symptoms may be risk factors for dementia, while others may be simple markers of cognitive decline. Different domains may confer different risks for different types of dementia, and may have different biomarkers or treatment approaches. Future studies, both cross-sectional and longitudinal will verify whether the symptoms identified by the MBI-C may represent a possible target for early pharmacological and non-pharmacological therapeutic interventions, as highlighted in the ISTAART MBI research agenda.
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