Drafting a dual diagnosis program: a tailored intervention for patients with complex clinical needs
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SUMMARY. Background. Clinical practice of mental health services changed in 1978 after the Basaglia Law was passed, and it is now characterized by usually voluntary treatments offered by community-based services. That broadened the interventions’ focus from the single subject to their environment. Dual diagnosis is defined by WHO as “the co-occurrence in the same individual of a psychoactive substance use disorder and another psychiatric disorder”. It is considered to be a “border territory” since entails networking between different medical services. Materials and methods. A literature search was performed in PubMed, Web of Science, Scopus and Google Scholar. Search terms were: “guidelines”, “treatment”, “comorbidity”, “substance abuse”, “alcohol”, “dual-diagnosis”, “psychiatric illness”, “outpatient”, “inpatient”, “health care service”, “clinical practice”. National and regional regulations about health and addiction were screened too. Out of 598 titles, 31 studies were included in this article for their relevance on treatments and networking between services for dual diagnosis cases. Results. There are not any guidelines for clinical practice in the literature, neither there are any shared treatment strategies on a national level. Considering the autonomy that every regional health service has, several different courses of action are possible. Here there are reported the ones available. Conclusions. After discussing the weak points of the treatment options, we suggest the “Multidisciplinary Healthcare” model to best address the difficulties represented by dual diagnosis cases.
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INTRODUCTION

Mental Health Care in Italy: laws and services

In 1978 the Law 180 was approved, known as “Basaglia law”, so called from the Friulan psychiatrist, which first believed in a necessary change in psychiatric medical practice. This law started a national process of de-institutionalization of the mental illness with the consequent psychiatric hospital closures at the end of the nineties, and the affirmation of a revolutionary territorial assistance model. The new legislation main innovation was that, even in psychiatry, at the base of health care would not be the danger perception, but the right of the person to health and care. Consequently, the patient became the treatment focus with his needs, rights, capabilities and resources. In clinical practice, this principle was translated into attempt to integrate the patient, suffering of psychic discomfort, into his/her usual social contexts, promoting his skills and involving in these processes his/her social network. Mental Health Departments (DSM) today are the structures that oversee all clinical, administrative and social activities related to the mental health field, and manage the activities of the different programs in which the departments are composed. Their composition is largely heterogeneous and includes psychiatric services such as child-psychiatry, law psychiatry, clinical psychology, prevention or rehabilitation services: these programs aim to assist the patient in his illness history. The Mental Health Center (CSM) is the reference center for people experiencing mental health problems and coordinates prevention, care, and rehabilitation for patients with psychiatric disorders. The CSM intervention is diagnostic, therapeutic and social-rehabilitative. In addition, with the aim of creating a “nursing network”, it works as a liaison with general practitioners, providing psychiatric counseling and leading, in collaboration with others, therapeutic projects and training activities as specialist advice on “border pathologies” such as dual diagnosis1-3. The Drug Addiction Service (SerT) is, instead, the public service for Addiction of the National Health System established by the law 162/90. These centers treat all problems related to legal/illegal use/abuse of psychoactive substances and dependence, through multidisciplinary recovery and care assistance strategies, integrating medical and educational, social and psychological point of views.

Their territorial activities provide to inform and sensitize the community about the risks and consequences of substances use; interventions to contrast the risk factors; measures to promote protective factors improving collective health; damage reduction services addressed to substance dependent patients at risk of social marginality who do not directly turn to the service. In addition, the SerT provides support to family members in order to help them to solve the problems about this situation, possibly involving the person concerned4.

After the Basaglia Law, a large number of psychiatric rehabilitation facilities (also known as Rehabilitative Therapeutic communities) were created, intended to accommodate patients with severe mental illness. These structures are intermediate between the Mental Health Center, which takes care the patient in his usual context, in outpatient setting, and the Prevention Diagnosis and Care Service, which manages the acute stages of psychopathology.

Therapeutic communities have patient’s autonomy achievement, as long-term goal, in order to reintegrate him into his socio-environmental context. To achieve this, they rely on the territorial network and a tutoring service is a part of treatment. However, short term goals usually focused on overcoming relationship difficulties with the others, redefining their social role, and reducing the aggravation and chronicization of psychopathological disorders5.

Some residential structures are therapeutic communities specialized in dual diagnosis care. These communities have the main purpose of leading the patient towards prolonged substance abstinence, also initiating a pathway of acceptance, management and treatment of the psychiatric pathology and promoting the enhancement of patient resources from the rehabilitative point of view6,7.

Dual diagnosis: an interesting “border field”

Dual diagnosis is defined by the World Health Organization (WHO) as «the co-occurrence in the same individual of a psychoactive substance use disorder and another psychiatric disorder»8.

Because considered a “border territory” between various branches of medicine, such as psychiatry, toxicology, gastroenterology, and internal medicine, dual diagnosis has not received an adequate attention in terms of nosographic-diagnostic framing and therapeutic strategies.

Dual diagnosis includes, de facto, a broad and diversified spectrum of clinical frameworks: a primary mental disorder which causes the increased substance intake and the possible development of an addiction; substance abuse and/or withdrawal from it leading to a psychiatric symptomatology or an established disorder; the reversible/temporary worsening of a psychiatric condition due to the substance intake; the coexistence, independently developed, of substance abuse and mental disorder9.

Such varied “clinical kaleidoscope” is also reflected in a nosographic complexity which often makes the therapeutic action ineffective or uncertain. In this regard, the 5th edition of Diagnostic Manual of Mental Disorders of 2013 provides effective operational criteria. Additionally, dual diagnosis is a critical issue for job seekers because the patients often have a strongly compromised social functioning with important difficulties in the socio-relational field (family, work, etc.), and also different and important organ damages. This is often accompanied by critical economic problems and, not rarely, by problems with the law10.

The epidemiological available data in the literature, however, suggest that this is not a “marginal” clinical issue. Nora D. Volkow, director of the National Institute on Drug Abuse, states that «according to epidemiological studies, 6 of 10 people abusing of alcohol and drugs have also a mental disorder, and 25 to 60% of people with a mental disorder have also substances addiction»11.

The modern organization of Mental Health Care has certainly allowed a minor mental illness stigmatization and a greater focus on rehabilitation of patients at physical, psychopathological, social and environmental level.
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However, our health system seems to have some difficulties in managing those “border pathologies”, those complex symptomatic frameworks that should refer to multiple services at the same time. An example is the dual diagnosis.

The aim of this paper is to find in literature operative models of care assistance for patients with dual diagnosis. Starting from these data, we will offer some consideration about the feature we think useful to draft services tailored for dual diagnosis patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A systematic literature search was conducted on PubMed, Web Science, and Scopus, Google Scholar databases. Articles published from 1980, the year of publication of DSM-III, until 2015 were considered. The search strings were: [Alcohol OR Dual Diagnosis OR substance OR psychiatric comorbidity] AND [Guidelines OR health care service OR pathway OR care programs OR clinical practice]. National and regional health and dependency regulations were also consulted. Of the 598 articles emerged, 31 were included in this article for their relevance on the definition of dual diagnosis clinical pathways and treatment.

In particular, were considered articles sharing a multidisciplinary care pathway and the ones whose data supporting not only the pathology, but the patient in the different stages of his addiction history. More emphasis was given to those works that specifically treat the complexity of therapeutic pathways in patients with psychiatric comorbidity, in order to investigate the current applied methodologies and to refine an integrated approach to double diagnosis that can be shared and generalized in the various territorial structures.

RESULTS

In the literature, dual diagnosis clinical management guidelines are not available and no nationally-based treatment strategies have been identified. Considering the autonomy in terms of health services organization across the regions, a number of options are possible.

Below we will describe the available models in the literature, adopted by the Mental Health Centers and SerT of the Abruzzo Region and by the Local Health Center of Sanluri (VS). These services, in a similar way, recognized that «the healthcare sector requires the involvement of multiple actors within an inter-professional, interdisciplinary and multidisciplinary system» and, based on regional regulations, proposed a technical-management process for dual diagnosis cases, completing the absence of formalized collaboration protocols and operating procedures between the mental health service and drug addiction services.

Thanks to standardized protocols between services, the pathways include if a patient arrives at the CSM or at an addiction service.

In the ASL of Sanluri, if the patient gets to CSM, the clinical diagnostic evaluation process will include the administration of the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS); specifically for addiction treatment Service, the ASI (Adap-
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ophrenia, mood disorders and serious personality disorders that account for 50% of the prevalence treated).25

DISCUSSION

Although important results are gaining from the point of view of pharmaceutical treatment27, it is still necessary to identify specific pathways for taking care of all patients, and it is possible only through a conjunct work of a multidisciplinary inter-services team making the appropriate and effective pharmacological strategies. This is due to the fact that patients with dual diagnosis often have also organic and psychological symptoms such as difficulties in family relationships and a strongly compromised social functioning. To this are added economic discomfort and, not rarely, problems with the law.30

By its nature, the dual diagnosis responsibility should be shared between different services and, in the specific case of Italian reality, there is the problem of integration between the drugs and the psychiatric services. Even in specific cases such as alcohol dependence, alcohol centers in Italy are often deficient, sometimes dependent arm of the SerT or, in other cases, autonomous centers. It is useful that structurally organized alcohol centers, possess experts on substance abuse and can quickly make use of structured psychiatric counseling, which frame the problem and provide for its management; it is also necessary that the alcohol centers work in a network with the other structures in/or functional units to target the patient’s socio-health needs and the best strategies for an overall successful therapeutic intervention. In our opinion, it is appropriate to elaborate an individualized therapeutic project that is made up of different professionalisms, which can be in relation with other different services, but which forms a stable functional team sharing a common paradigm of intervention and is also equipped with homogeneous and shared measurements (e.g., clinical evaluation scale). This would ensure a higher quality of care for patients and their families.

Conversely, fragmentation, discontinuity of care and the lack of a systematic and networked approach are all barriers that prevent a proper collection of feedback on the effectiveness of diagnosis or treatment and, more generally, on the patient’s health status, thus hindering the possibility of implementing/optimise evidence-based welfare pathways. The adoption of a nursing model, such as in a Continuum of care, can lead to a number of issues regarding the patients’ role of private accredited services and it is necessary to develop a shared and standardized protocol of intervention and evaluation between these programs and the public ones.
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