Fetal alcohol spectrum disorders awareness in health professionals: implications for psychiatry

La conoscenza della sindrome alcolica fetale negli operatori sanitari: implicazioni per la psichiatria
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SUMMARY. Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorders (FASD) are a plethora of malformative conditions leading to mental retardation that affect newborns and children who have been exposed to alcohol during pregnancy or breastfeeding. FASD is a relevant topic for public health in Europe: European area is first in ranking for alcohol use during pregnancy with a prevalence of 25.2%. Italy ranked third among European countries with higher prevalence of FASD (45.0 per 1000 population). Furthermore, FASD could still be underestimated because of numerous undiagnosed and misdiagnosed cases. Aims of the study were to briefly summarize existing evidences about FASD and its psychiatric aspects to assess knowledge, attitudes and practice towards alcohol drinking during pregnancy in an Italian sample of health care professionals in order to provide information about FASD prevention. An anonymous online questionnaire containing the AUDIT-C, T-ACE model and the Drinking Motive Questionnaire was sent to 400 Italian healthcare professionals and students. The survey included socio-demographic information, questions about drinking habits and about knowledge, attitude and practice towards alcohol assumption during pregnancy. Among 320 respondents, 96.3% were women. AUDIT-C revealed that 52.4% were low risk drinkers but 27.6% were hazardous drinkers. The 90.6% of participants denied to ever attended a course about the fetus damage induced by alcohol consumption during pregnancy but 91.3% were willing to participate to professional update initiatives on the topic. Only 19.1% of participants talk regularly about the deleterious effects for the fetus of prenatal alcohol drinking to women and only 51.1% advise the ‘zero alcohol’ policy. Around 41% of participants tolerate the assumption of low-alcohol beverages. No differences were found between no drinkers and low and hazardous drinkers. In conclusion, data show that only specific and continuing updating for health care professionals about drinking habits may have impactful actions to prevent gestational alcohol intake in order to prevent the main cause of mental retardation in western countries.
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RIASSUNTO. La sindrome alcolica fetale (FASD) indica una pletora di condizioni malformative che portano a ritardo mentale nei bambini e che colpisce neonati che sono stati esposti all’alcol durante la gravidanza o l’allattamento. La FASD è un argomento rilevante per la salute pubblica in Europa: infatti l’Europa è il primo continente per l’uso di alcol durante la gravidanza con una prevalenza del 25.2%. L’Italia è al terzo posto tra i Paesi europei, con una maggiore prevalenza di FASD (45.0 per 1000 abitanti). Inoltre, l’incidenza della FASD potrebbe ancora essere sottovalutata a causa di numerosi casi non diagnosticati. Lo scopo dello studio è di sintetizzare brevemente le evidenze esistenti sulla conoscenza della FASD e sui suoi aspetti psichiatrici per valutare le conoscenze, gli atteggiamenti e le pratiche nei confronti del consumo di alcolici durante la gravidanza in un campione italiano di operatori sanitari, al fine di fornire informazioni sulla prevenzione della FASD. Un questionario online anonimo, contenente i modelli AUDIT-C, T-ACE, e un questionario alimentare sono stati inviati a
INTRODUCTION

Alcohol plays a ‘venomous’ effect on pregnancy, causing miscarriage, intrauterine growth restriction, stillbirth, premature birth, neonatal and infantile sequelae, as deformities and disabilities, related to Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorders (FASD). Fetal Alcohol Syndrome (FAS) is a multifaceted malformative condition affecting newborns and children who have been exposed to alcohol during pregnancy or breastfeeding. It has been proved as the most common cause of acquired mental retardation in childhood and it is totally avoidable by completely refrain from alcohol assumption while pregnant. According to the Italian guidelines and the WHO guidelines for the identifications and management of substance use and substance use disorder in pregnancy, the ‘zero alcohol’ rule is the safest advice every healthcare professional should provide to expectant women or to couples who are looking for a child. In fact, also paternal exposure to alcohol has been shown relevant in a mice model.

Alcohol assumption during pregnancy is a relevant topic for public health in Europe: European area is first in ranking for alcohol use during pregnancy with a prevalence of 25.2%. Italy ranked third among European countries with higher prevalence of FASD (45.0 per 1000 population). Although, such data could still be underestimating the problem because of numerous undiagnosed and misdiagnosed cases.

Diagnosis of FASD should be difficult because of the variety of pathological forms found in the spectrum. FASD includes: Fetal Alcohol Syndrome (FAS), partial FAS, Alcohol Related Neurodevelopmental Disorders (ARND) and Alcohol Related Birth Defects (ARBD). Microcephaly has been shown as key sign in determining FASD disorders in preterm newborns. Detection will be easier in schooling children and teenagers, in which dysmorphisms, growth defects, behavioral impairment and poor school performances will be more evident.

A complete, exhaustive and non-judgmental mother’s clinical history is fundamental to identify people with increased vulnerability to alcohol. However, such data are difficult to obtain because of sense of guilt, shame and fear of stigma that women often experience when asked about the topic. Counselling professionals about their drinking habits during pregnancy should be part of healthcare professionals’ interventions as primary prevention in order to spread correct information, detect risky behaviors and promote healthy lifestyle among pregnant women.

THE CLINICAL PICTURE OF FASD

Diagnosis of FASD should be based on a multidisciplinary approach to both mother and baby, considering three different aspects:
1. maternal risk factors;
2. morphological abnormalities;
3. neurological, psychological, intellectual and social impairment.

Table 1 shows diagnostic criteria of disorders found in FASD spectrum.

Facial anomalies

Children with FAS show 3 specific facial abnormalities (Figure 1):
1. short palpebral fissures (<10th percentile);
2. elongated and flattened nasolabial philtrum;
3. thin upper lip.

They might present also minor dysmorphism such as: epicanthus, hypertelorism, flat nasal root with short nose with antiverse nostrils, hypoplasia of the cheekbones, anomalies of positioning of ears with a ‘railway track’ appearance of the antihelix cartilage and micrognathia.

Structural congenital defects

These include anomalies of various organs such as:
- heart (25-50%): inter-atral or inter-ventricular defects, conotruncal anomalies or large vessels;
- kidneys (4%): aplasia, hypoplasia, renal dysplasia, horsehoe kidneys, rhenouretral duplications;
- skeleton: with radio-ulnar synostosis, vertebral segmenta-
tion defects with cervical vertebral fusion (50%), ankylosis of large joints, scoliosis (15%); • ears: mixed (90%) or sensorineural (30%) hearing loss; • eyes: microphthalmia, strabismus, palpebral ptosis and retinal vascular abnormalities with associated visual defects; • teeth: frequent caries, ogival palate and Class III malocclusion with growth; • minor dysmorphic anomalies: hypoplastic nails, brevity and clinodactyly of 5th finger, camptodactyly, handheld ‘hockey stick’ and pectus excavatum/carinatum.

ABNORMALITIES OF THE CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM

It has been proved that alcohol exposure during pregnancy can cause short-term and long-term cognitive and behavioral impairments coming from both structural and functional central nervous system abnormalities. The main structural alteration of FASD is microcephaly, often associated with overall growth restriction\(^{15}\). MRI studies show an overall reduction in brain volume and a general disorganization of the central nervous system\(^{35-38}\). In the context of FASD, neurological and psychiatric impairments are caused by prenatal alcohol exposure which causes general damage to the central nervous system (CNS).

Neurological impairments

A determination of a neurological problem should be made by a trained physician, and must not be due to a post-natal insult, such as a high fever, concussion, traumatic brain injury, etc. Neurological problems are expressed as either hard signs, or diagnosable disorders, such as epilepsy or other seizure disorders, or soft signs. Soft signs are broader, non-specific neurological impairments, or symptoms, such as impaired fine motor skills, neurosensory hearing loss, poor gait, clumsiness, poor eye-hand coordination\(^{19,20}\). Many soft signs have norm-referenced criteria, while others are determined through clinical judgment. ‘Clinical judgment’ is only as good as the clinician, and soft signs should be assessed by either a
pediatric neurologist, a pediatric neuropsychologist, or both. Functional impairments are deficits, problems, delays, or abnormalities due to prenatal alcohol exposure (rather than hereditary causes or postnatal insults) in observable and measurable domains related to daily functioning, often referred to as developmental disabilities.

**Psychiatric impairments**

FASD is a significant issue for Public Health in Europe because of its implications over families, society and public finances. It has been proved that around 94% of people with FASD have mental health problems and in 23% of those cases mental illness requires hospitalization. Famy et al. stated that among 25 alcohol exposed subjects included in their trial, 11 had an episode of major depression, 10 reported psychotic symptoms and 7 reported brief psychotic disorder. Among remaining individuals with psychosis, 1 subject was diagnosed with schizophrenia, 1 with delusional disorder, and 1 with psychotic disorder. Bipolar I and anxiety disorders were diagnosed in 5 of the subjects each. Four subjects were diagnosed with posttraumatic stress disorder, 2 with panic disorder, 1 with generalized anxiety disorder, and 1 with claustrophobia. Eating disorders were identified in 4 subjects, 2 of which had binge eating, 1 had anorexia and 1 had bulimia.

Around 83% of adults with FASD suffers addiction issues and 79% have employment problems. Also, individuals with FAS have very high rates of suicidal and parasuicidal behaviors. Alcohol-exposed infants have been shown to be highly irritable and to have altered sleeping patterns and feeding difficulties. Significantly higher levels of both eating and sleeping disorders in children exposed to alcohol during gestation when compared to non-exposed controls were described.

In boys over 12, it has been reported that 61% have negative school experiences, 60% have legal troubles, and 49% display inappropriate sexual behavior. Adolescents and adults with FAS display unsensitiveness to social conventions, lack of significant friendships, lack of diplomacy, and difficulty in cooperating with peers. Women with either FAS or other fetal alcohol effects often have difficulties in providing adequate maternal care to their children. In fact, a study conducted over 30 women with FASD showed that 36% of children had been taken under the social protective services’ care. Some studies reported experiences of parents of children with FASD: parenting was seen as a lifetime commitment and the whole family feels isolated and overwhelmed because of FASD. People living with FASD often feel different from others and go through negative social experiences, like being bullied at school or marginalized, because of the implications of their condition over their memory, comprehension and abilities.

In general, FASD mental retardation is dramatically characterized by changes in learning disabilities, academic achievement, impulse control, social perception, communication, abstraction, math skills, short and long-memory, attention, judgment, executive functioning, cognition, social/adaptive skills, language, motor skills, activity levels, sensory processing, social communication, responding to common parenting practices, adaptive behavior and by increased hyperactivity.

**ROLE OF HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONALS IN DIAGNOSIS AND PREVENTION OF FASD**

Despite a large body of evidences about the negative effects of alcohol assumption during pregnancy have been extensively provided, a great amount of women, around 30/40% are still drinking during at least one trimester of pregnancy. This could represent a real ‘call to action’ for every healthcare professional who has position, education and accountability to counsel pregnant women about healthy lifestyles during pregnancy. Health care professionals should play a pivotal role in the primary prevention interventions against alcohol, smoking and drugs assumption during pregnancy. For example, due to their millenary history, midwives are commonly seen like knowledgeable and reliable healthcare professionals, capable of giving wise advice. By the other hand, also Medical Doctors come to have different occasions of contact with patients affected with alcohol related disorders because of their different specialties. General practitioners, obstetrician-gynecologists and pediatricians are the ones who easily come to see women during their pregnancies. Around 66% of North American medical doctors state that alcohol assumption during pregnancy is harmful but 45-9% claims that there are still some uncertainties about alcohol effects on the fetus. Around 54% of Canadian healthcare professionals (pediatricians, psychiatrists, gynecologists and midwives) declared to be able to diagnose FASD. Although, an interesting review summarizing 26 different studies, suggest doctors to be still influenced by their personal believes and attitudes towards alcohol assumption.

Healthcare student’s positions and believes about alcohol are still unclear and probably stereotyped. Although, specific clinical placements in alcohol rehab facilities were proved as effective in sensitizing students about the alcohol abuse disorder. Alongside with general practitioners and nurses, midwives are the frontline healthcare professionals who have the role, the possibility and the education to perform an influential counselling on women about healthy lifestyles.

**AIM OF THE STUDY**

The main purpose of our study was to investigate knowledge, attitudes and practice towards alcohol damage during gestation in an Italian sample of health care professionals as midwives, medical doctors and healthcare students for proposing further tools of intervention to prevent the mental health disabilities associated with FASD.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Subjects’ recruitment**

Between 2018 and 2019, 400 anonymous online questionnaires were sent via web to healthcare professionals and medical, nursing and midwifery students coming from different specialties.
Italian centers, geographically distributed between Northern, Central and Southern areas. 320 questionnaires returned. Characteristics of subjects included in the study are shown in Table 2. All the participants were Italian and the mean age of the sample was 34.83±12.55 years, ranging from 19 to 65 years old. The sample was almost entirely composed by female participants (96.3%). The healthcare professionals who majorly responded the questionnaire were midwives (n=262; 81.9%). The higher number of professionals was in the Northern Italy (55.9%).

This survey included socio-demographic information, questions about alcohol use and smoking habits and about knowledge, attitude and practice towards alcohol assumption and related risks during pregnancy. The used questionnaires were: Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test-Consumption (AUDIT-C), T-ACE model and the Drinking Motive Questionnaire. An informed consent was signed by each participant who sent back the questionnaires, and all the study procedures were in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 1983, for human experimentation.

**Questionnaires**

**AUDIT-C**

Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test-Consumption (AUDIT-C) is a validated tool⁷⁰ to assess alcohol consumption in pregnant women through three short questions that estimate alcohol consumption in a standard, meaningful and non-judgmental manner. The optimal AUDIT-C thresholds for alcohol misuse in USA are ≥4 points for men and ≥3 points for women⁷⁰-⁷³.

**T-ACE/TACER 3**

The T ACE/TACER3 are screening tests for at risk drinking based on the CAGE questionnaire but modified to be used in obstetric gynecologic practices²⁴-⁷⁶. Further information about the test is available at the following address: https://pubs.niaaa.nih.gov/publications/arh25 3/204 209.htm.

**Drinking Motives Questionnaire**

Drinking Motives Questionnaire-Revised (DMO-R)⁷⁷ is a self-administered questionnaire, with 12 items, reflecting the frequency of occurrence of each specific drinking motive, that evaluate four possible classes of drinking motives: internal positive reinforcement (Enhancement), external positive reinforcement (Social), internal negative reinforcement (Coping) and external negative reinforcement (Conformity).

**Data analysis**

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and descriptive analyses were used to disclose drinking habits between hazardous and low drinkers also according to methods previously described⁷⁸-⁸¹. All statistical analyses were performed by using SPSS software (version 21; IBM SPSS Statistics, Chicago).

### RESULTS

**Drinking habits**

Drinking habits were assessed by the AUDIT-C (score from 0 to 6 in our sample) and revealed 20.1% of no drinkers, 52.4% of low risk drinkers and 27.6% of hazardous drinkers (Table 3). Concerning to binge drinking habits, the 72.7% reported to have never assumed more than 5 drinks in a single occasion, while the 27% did report binge drinking less than once in a month or at least once in a month. The 0.3% reported binge drinking every day. Although, no differences were found between women and men in alcohol consumption.

It was also asked what kind of alcoholic beverages they used to drink and in what locations: wine and beer were the most consumed alcoholic beverages (respectively 60% and 43.5%), followed by cocktails (29.7%), soft drinks (11.3%) and spirits (6.3%). The most common places to drink alcohol were Pubs (40.6%) and parties (37.2%), followed by Friends’ House (32.5%), Home (25.3%), Disco (10%) and Restaurant (4.4%) (Table 3).

The most likely motivations to drink alcohol were investigated through the DMO-R questions: the 33.9% used to drink to be sociable or to celebrate parties (Social), the 13.8% used to drink to forget about problems (Coping), the 35.7% used to drink to celebrate (Social), and the 26.8% used to drink to feel better (Enhancement). The 31% used to drink to feel relax (Enhancement) and the 22.6% used to drink to feel better (Enhancement) (Table of characteristics of Professionals involved in the survey, including smoking and drinking habits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Number (%) or Range (Mean)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td>19-65 (34.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nationality</strong></td>
<td>Italian (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td>Male (3.8%), Female (96.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Midwives</strong></td>
<td>262 (81.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>215 (67.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freelance</td>
<td>12 (3.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Still studying</td>
<td>35 (10.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Doctors</strong></td>
<td>24 (7.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>8 (2.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freelance</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Still studying</td>
<td>16 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Students</strong></td>
<td>47 (14.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place of work</td>
<td>Northern Italy (55.9), Central Italy (13.8), Southern Italy (30.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoking habits</strong></td>
<td>Non-smokers (74.1%), Smokers (25.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Drinking habits</strong></td>
<td>Non-drinkers (20%), Low risk drinkers (52.2%), Hazardous drinkers (27.3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 2. Characteristics of Professionals involved in the survey, including smoking and drinking habits.**

**Table 3.** Characteristics of Professionals involved in the survey, including smoking and drinking habits.
used to drink to feel better or to be able to do things otherwise impossible (Enhancement), the 4.9% used to drink because other people do (Social Pressure or Conformity) (Table 3). ANOVA one way demonstrated that hazardous drinkers used to drink more for Enhancement ($F_{(1,180)}=10.401; p= .001$) and Coping ($F_{(1,180)}=6.108; p=.014$) motivations compared to low risk drinkers.

Knowledge, attitude and practice

Several questions investigated knowledge, attitudes and practice of those professionals towards alcohol assumption and FASD (Table 4). Most participants reported to have never attended a course about FASD (90.6%) but they are willing to participate to professional update initiatives on this topic (91.3%).

Talking about Practice, only 19.1% of participants talk regularly about FASD to women who come to their attention during their clinical practice and only 51.1% is advising the ‘zero alcohol’ policy. Around 41% of the participants reported to tolerate the assumption of beverages with low alcohol concentration. The output of the 8-questions questionnaire was analyzed on the base of AUDIT-C categories and no difference were found between no drinkers and low and hazardous drinkers in knowledge, attitude and practices.

DISCUSSION

Europe is the first in the ranking for alcohol use during pregnancy and Italy ranked third among European countries with higher prevalence of FASD. Thus, interventions against alcohol assumption during pregnancy are necessary more than ever to discourage women’s unhealthy behavior during pregnancy. Healthcare professionals can play a fundamental role in the prevention of risky behaviors by informing women about healthy lifestyle during gestation.

The present survey revealed that only 51% of Italian interviewed healthcare professionals is currently advising the ‘zero alcohol’ policy. Such data are frighteningly below the international mean percentages: around 61% in Denmark and 98% in Australia. Furthermore, it has been shown that Canadian healthcare professionals (pediatricians, gynecologists and midwives) may diagnose FASD. Among the 217 healthcare professionals claiming to know FASD in our sample, only 52 refer to always talk about FASD with women; 83 refer to talk if some risk conditions are recognized, 70 refer to do it sometimes or never. In Norway, the information percentages were evidently higher: 98% of surveyed healthcare professionals ask women about their drinking habits.

Nevertheless, the system used for interviews did not enable the attempts of cheating, for example consulting online resources to answer the questions, still 10.6% of the answers about “knowledge” was incorrect. In our sample, 41.6% refer to tolerate the assumption of beverages with low alcohol concentration. So, even professionals who claim to know FASD are still not talking to women and giving incorrect advice. However, the 91.3% of surveyed professionals are willing to attend professional update initiatives about FASD but, quite surprisingly, around 8% still thinks that such initiatives are poorly useful or do not see it as a priority for their clinical practice.

Only a few studies investigated the results of the Public Health Campaigns against alcohol and FASD: 6 studies reported an improvement in the prevention of FASD but the overall reduction of alcohol assumption in the general population was not significant. Some programs specifically directed to midwives were proved impactful over their knowledge and attitudes towards alcohol and FASD: in 2000 only 28% of Dutch midwives advised to completely avoid alcohol during pregnancy. This percentage increased at 61% in
Table 4. Questions about knowledge, attitude and practice towards alcohol assumption during pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Knowledge questions</th>
<th>Number (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you know FASD?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>14 (4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>217 (67.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partially</td>
<td>89 (27.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How could you define FASD?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Syndrome that affects the offspring of alcoholic parents”</td>
<td>31 (9.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Syndrome that affects the offspring of mothers who consumed alcohol during pregnancy”</td>
<td>286 (89.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Syndrome that affects the offspring of alcoholic fathers”</td>
<td>2 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Syndrome that affects the offspring of men under the effects of alcoholic intoxication”</td>
<td>1 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What are the sources of your information?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University</td>
<td>219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colleagues</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Press</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courses/Congresses</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude questions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I think that informing women about FASD and its risks is:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Always useful for every woman and so should be compulsory</td>
<td>262 (81.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not very useful for the population and so shouldn't be compulsory</td>
<td>4 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Useful only for women at risk</td>
<td>48 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probably useful for foreign women, because in Italy alcoholism is a rare condition for fertile women</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall shortly useful considering the exiguity of cases reported</td>
<td>1 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have you ever attended a course about FASD?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>290 (90.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>30 (9.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you think a course about FASD to be useful for your professional activity?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>292 (91.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poorly useful</td>
<td>16 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I’d rather do courses on more relevant topics</td>
<td>10 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice questions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you talk to women who come to your attention about FASD and related risks?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, always</td>
<td>61 (19.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, if she asks it</td>
<td>12 (3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, if there are some increased risk signals</td>
<td>118 (36.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>62 (19.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>61 (19.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What would you advise concerning alcohol assumption during pregnancy?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not drink any alcohol during pregnancy</td>
<td>164 (51.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue with the personal habits</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is possible to eventually drink beverages with low alcohol concentration</td>
<td>133 (41.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirits are absolutely forbidden</td>
<td>23 (7.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Furthermore, between 2006 and 2009 Sweden observed that most of the midwives involved in educational programs lasting two or more days about alcohol and FASD used specific tools to deal with a correct information about the deleterious effects of alcohol consumption during pregnancy.

Although healthcare professionals are willing to provide an efficient educational intervention on the pregnant population against alcohol assumption, some studies demonstrated that their interventions were not efficient and poorly impactful. Some barriers for healthcare professionals to efficiently include alcohol screening in their clinical practice have been issued: shortened staff in midwifery units, lack of political and management support, lack of theoretical knowledge, difficulties in using validates tools, lack of communicative skills, language and cultural barriers, personal discomfort and feelings about alcohol, women’s fear of stigma or judgment. But nonetheless, a few positive effects on the prevention of FASD have been recently shown: the caseload midwifery model in Australia was able to prevent alcohol assumption during pregnancy thanks to a trusting relationship between women and health care professionals through pregnancy, labor, birth and postpartum.

Studies on the internet-based educational intervention on healthcare professionals demonstrated their efficacy. In fact, in Australia more than 3 thousand practitioners received educative material about alcohol and FASD through their email. After 3 months they were asked if they read or used the delivered material and if it helped to change their practice: 48.5% of them reported to had changed in their behavior towards alcohol assumption and 98.1% reported to advise the ‘zero alcohol’ policy. Indeed, zero alcohol-zero risk during pregnancy and lactation. No matter what you’ve heard, there’s no proven safe amount of alcohol to drink during any stage of pregnancy as it can cause FASD. Studies suggest that even low to moderate alcohol use at any time during pregnancy may be associated with an increased risk of FASD. Due to its incredible flexibility with resources available from anywhere and at any time, E-learning allows to consult the materials even during weekends or whenever they have free time

The Australian Government Department of Health supported the opening of ‘FASD Hub’, an online free platform to provide information and materials about FASD to healthcare professionals, but also to families and people affected (available at www.fasdhub.org.au). A similar tool could be provided to Italian healthcare professionals whose activity can have a real impact on the prevention of FASD such as pediatricians, psychologists, psychiatrists, midwives, gynecologists, general practitioners and nurses. A possible solution to practical and logistic difficulties for all healthcare professionals in the application of the protocols preventing alcohol assumption during pregnancy could be forming experts and trained teams about the effects of alcohol consumption during pregnancy to spread information around FASD.

CONCLUSIONS

FASD is a totally preventable condition that has to burden effects not only on patients affected but also on their families and society. Since the identification of FASD mounting evidence about the impact of maternal alcohol consumption during pregnancy has prompted increased attention to the link between gestational alcohol exposure and a constellation of developmental disabilities that are characterized by physical, cognitive and behavioral impairments. Longitudinal studies suggest that individuals with FASD are at a significantly increased risk for adverse long term outcomes, including mental health problems and poor social adjustment. Research on the psychiatric disabilities suffered by individuals with FASD throughout development highlights the need for training of mental health professionals in the identification and the provision of specific treatments to address the unique features of this developmental disability since early identification and treatment have been demonstrated to be protective against more serious secondary disabilities. It is hoped that with greater awareness of the mental health problems experienced by individuals with FASD, these individuals can receive appropriate and early treatment resulting in more adaptive and rewarding lives.

As for mental health professionals, they are responsible for analyzing a patient’s mental health status and providing the necessary treatment. They may admit patients to hospitals, order tests, prescribe medication or recommend therapy. Additionally, they hold regular discussions with patients to diagnose mental disorders or assess a patient’s response to treatment. In particular for the prevention of FASD, mental health professionals could play also a crucial role by overcoming the myths and misconceptions about the risk of prenatal alcohol exposure by disseminating the facts. Furthermore, mental health professionals could educate medical and allied health practitioners, students, and others who influence the choices made by women of childbearing age is an essential aspect for prevention providing access to therapeutic services that could prevent current or future pregnancies from being affected by alcohol.

Healthcare professionals should take responsibility in spreading correct information, detecting signs of vulnerability and support women during pregnancy in order to prevent alcohol assumption. Healthcare professionals playing key roles during pregnancy have demonstrated their willingness to expand their practice through continuing professional development, and through specialist and advanced roles. We do believe healthcare professionals could have a crucial function in the prevention of alcohol consumption during pregnancy on order to counteract or reduce the main cause of mental retardation in western countries. Unfortunately, specific education is not enough to realize impactful actions on the society, but further Institutional and Political support is necessary to improve alcohol-associated effects on individuals’ health in Italy.
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